

JOSPT Report to Section Members for CSM 2018

Interim Editor-in-Chief Guy Simoneau, PT, PhD, ATC, FAPTA and
past Editor-in-Chief J. Haxby Abbott DPT, PhD, FNZCP

In 2017, *JOSPT* published 86 peer-reviewed manuscripts, 22 peer-reviewed musculoskeletal imaging cases, 12 editorials or notes, 9 viewpoints, and 11 perspectives for patients or practice. *JOSPT* also published 3 clinical practice guidelines: hip OA revision in June, neck pain revision in July, and knee ligaments revision in November. There were also 2 special issues: whiplash in July and musculoskeletal pain in children in October.

The *Journal* continues to be highly rated by InCites (formerly JCR) for 2017 (published in June 2017 for publications from 2014-2015 cited in 2016). The latest impact factor was 2.83 and 5-year impact factor 3.80. These numbers reflect improvement from 2.55 and 3.54 in 2016. *JOSPT* ranked 8th of 65 rehabilitation journals; 12th of 76 orthopedic journals; 12th of 81 sport sciences journals. These numbers are also slight improvements compared to 2016.

JOSPT serves a total of 37 040 subscribers, including Orthopaedic and Sports Section members, direct *JOSPT* individual and institutional subscribers, and individual members of *JOSPT* international partner organizations.

Website traffic averaged nearly 123 000 sessions per month in 2017 from close to 87 000 users in 172 countries. Of the total monthly sessions, more than 33 500 were mobile. All numbers represent continued growth from previous years.

At the start of 2018, the Editorial Board includes a roster of 36 people: The Interim Editor-in-Chief, 6 editors, 1 editor of case reports, 1 editor of musculoskeletal imaging, 12 associate editors, 2 associate editors of case reports, 3 associate editors of musculoskeletal imaging, 5 special features editors of viewpoints and perspectives for practice and patients, 1 continuing education coordinator, and 4 statistical consultants. In addition, *JOSPT* has an International Editorial Review Board of 86 reviewers located around the world. There are another 300 reviewers in the reviewer database.

Annual *JOSPT* reader survey; *JOSPT* consistently scores above a 4 on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is not at all useful and 5 is extremely useful. Based on the 2017 survey, 1243 respondents rated *JOSPT* a 4.23, compared to 16 other journals. Next were *AJSM* and *BJSM*, each scoring 3.63. The lowest ranked journal earned a usefulness score of 2.74.