



Wearable Technologies for Monitoring Human Performance

Independent Study
Course 30.3.5

Mike McGuigan, PhD, CSCS
Sports Performance Research Institute New Zealand
Auckland University of Technology
Auckland, New Zealand

CONTINUING PHYSICAL THERAPY EDUCATION



REFERENCES

1. Thompson WR. Worldwide survey of fitness trends for 2019. *ACSM's Health and Fitness Journal.* 2018;22(6):10-17.
2. Statistica. Wearable technology - statistics and facts. <https://www.statista.com/topics/1556/wearable-technology/>. Accessed 12 September, 2019.
3. Atkinson G, Nevill AM. Statistical methods for assessing measurement error (reliability) in variables relevant to sports medicine. *Sports Med.* 1998;26(4):217-238.
4. Weir JP. Quantifying test-retest reliability using the intraclass correlation coefficient and the SEM. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2005;19(1):231-240.
5. Koo TK, Li MY. A guideline of selecting and reporting intraclass correlation coefficients for reliability research. *J Chiropr Med.* 2016;15(2):155-163. doi: 10.1016/j.jcm.2016.02.012. Epub 2016 Mar 31.
6. Welk GJ, Bai Y, Lee JM, Godino J, Saint-Maurice PF, Carr L. Standardizing analytic methods and reporting in activity monitor validation studies. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2019;51(8):1767-1780. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000001966.
7. Peake JM, Kerr G, Sullivan JP. A critical review of consumer wearables, mobile applications, and equipment for providing biofeedback, monitoring stress, and sleep in physically active populations. *Front Physiol.* 2018;9:743. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2018.00743. eCollection 2018.
8. Scott MT, Scott TJ, Kelly VG. The validity and reliability of global positioning systems in team sport: a brief review. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2016;30(5):1470-1490. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000001221.
9. Cummins C, Orr R, O'Connor H, West C. Global positioning systems (GPS) and microtechnology sensors in team sports: a systematic review. *Sports Med.* 2013;43(10):1025-1042. doi: 10.1007/s40279-013-0069-2.
10. Haugen T, Buchheit M. Sprint running performance monitoring: methodological and practical considerations. *Sports Med.* 2016;46(5):641-656. doi: 10.1007/s40279-015-0446-0.
11. Vickery WM, Dascombe BJ, Baker JD, Higham DG, Spratford WA, Duffield R. Accuracy and reliability of GPS devices for measurement of sports-specific movement patterns related to cricket, tennis, and field-based team sports. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2014;28(6):1697-1705. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000000285.
12. Roell M, Roeker K, Gehring D, Mahler H, Gollhofer A. Player monitoring in indoor team sports: concurrent validity of inertial measurement units to quantify average and peak acceleration values. *Front Physiol.* 2018;9:141. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2018.00141. eCollection 2018.
13. Jennings D, Cormack S, Coutts AJ, Boyd LJ, Aughey RJ. Variability of GPS units for measuring distance in team sport movements. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2010;5(4):565-569.
14. Thornton HR, Nelson AR, Delaney JA, Serpiello FR, Duthie GM. Interunit reliability and effect of data-processing methods of global positioning systems. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2019;14(4):432-438. doi: 10.1123/ijsp.2018-0273. Epub 2019 Feb 20.
15. Johnston RJ, Watsford ML, Kelly SJ, Pine MJ, Spurrs RW. Validity and interunit reliability of 10 Hz and 15 Hz GPS units for assessing athlete movement demands. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2014;28(6):1649-1655. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000000323.
16. Jackson BM, Polglaze T, Dawson B, King T, Peeling P. Comparing global positioning system and global navigation satellite system measures of team-sport movements. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2018;13(8):1005-1010. doi: 10.1123/ijsp.2017-0529. Epub 2018 Sep 18.
17. Stiles VH, Pearce M, Moore IS, Langford J, Rowlands AV. Wrist-worn accelerometry for runners: objective quantification of training load. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2018;50(11):2277-2284.
18. Navalta JW, Montes J, Bodell NG, et al. Wearable device validity in determining step count during hiking and trail running. *J Meas Phys Behav.* 2018;1(2):86-93.
19. Fokkema T, Kooiman TJ, Krijnen WP, Van Der Schans CP, De Groot M. Reliability and validity of ten consumer activity trackers depend on walking speed. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2017;49(4):793-800. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000001146.
20. Balsalobre-Fernandez C, Romero-Franco N, Jimenez-Reyes P. Concurrent validity and reliability of an iPhone app for the measurement of ankle dorsiflexion and inter-limb asymmetries. *J Sports Sci.* 2018;37(3):249-253.
21. Balsalobre-Fernandez C, Agopyan H, Morin JB. The validity and reliability of an iPhone app for measuring running mechanics. *J Appl Biomech.* 2017;33(3):222-226.
22. Balsalobre-Fernandez C, Bishop C, Beltran-Garrido JV, et al. The validity and reliability of a novel app for the measurement of change of direction performance. *J Sports Sci.* 2019;37(21):2420-2424. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2019.1640029. Epub 2019 Jul 5.
23. Bouts AM, Brackman L, Martin E, Subasic AM, Potkanowicz ES. The accuracy and validity of iOS-based heart rate apps during moderate to high intensity exercise. *Int J Exerc Sci.* 2018;11(7):533-540.

24. Driller M, Tavares F, McMaster D, O'Donnell S. Assessing a smartphone application to measure counter-movement jumps in recreational athletes. *Int J Sports Sci Coach*. 2017;12:661-664.
25. Keogh JW, Cox A, Anderson S, et al. Reliability and validity of clinically accessible smartphone applications to measure joint range of motion: a systematic review. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(5):e0215806. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0215806. eCollection 2019.
26. Peart DJ, Balsalobre-Fernandez C, Shaw MP. Use of mobile applications to collect data in sport, health, and exercise science: a narrative review. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2019;33(4):1167-1177. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000002344.
27. Lee I, Shiroma EJ, Evenson KR, Kamada M, LaCroix AZ, Buring JE. Using devices to assess physical activity and sedentary behavior in a large cohort study: the women's health study. *J Meas Phys Behav*. 2018;1(2):60-69. doi: 10.1123/jmpb.2018-0005.
28. Ferguson T, Rowlands AV, Olds T, Maher C. The validity of consumer-level, activity monitors in healthy adults worn in free-living conditions: a cross-sectional study. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2015;12:42. doi: 10.1186/s12966-015-0201-9.
29. Halson SL, Peake JM, Sullivan JP. Wearable technology for athletes: information overload and pseudoscience? *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2016;11(6):705-706.
30. McLean BD, Coutts AJ, Kelly V, McGuigan MR, Cormack SJ. Neuromuscular, endocrine, and perceptual fatigue responses during different length between-match microcycles in professional rugby league players. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2010;5(3):367-383.
31. Foster C. Monitoring training in athletes with reference to overtraining syndrome. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1998;30(7):1164-1168.
32. Millor N, Lecumberri P, Gomez M, Martinez-Ramirez A, Izquierdo M. An evaluation of the 30-s chair stand test in older adults: frailty detection based on kinematic parameters from a single inertial unit. *J Neuroeng Rehabil*. 2013;10:86. doi: 10.1186/1743-0003-10-86.
33. McGuckian TB, Cole MH, Jordet G, Chalkley D, Pepping GJ. Don't turn blind! The relationship between exploration before ball possession and on-ball performance in association football. *Front Psychol*. 2018;9:2520. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02520. eCollection 2018.
34. Warman GE, Cole MH, Johnston RD, Chalkley D, Pepping GJ. Using microtechnology to quantify torso angle during match-play in field hockey. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2019;33(10):2648-2654. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000003238.
35. Brice SM, Phillips EJ, Millett EL, Hunter A, Philippa B. Comparing inertial measurement units and marker-based biomechanical models during dynamic rotation of the torso. *Eur J Sport Sci*. 2019;19:1-9. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2019.1666167. [Epub ahead of print]
36. Riley PO, Dicharry J, Franz J, Della Croce U, Wilder RP, Kerrigan DC. A kinematics and kinetic comparison of overground and treadmill running. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2008;40(6):1093-1100.
37. Hollis CR, Koldenhoven RM, Resch JE, Hertel J. Running biomechanics as measured by wearable sensors: effects of speed and surface. *Sports Biomech*. 2019;1:1-11. doi: 10.1080/14763141.2019.1579366. [Epub ahead of print]
38. Sheerin KR, Reid D, Besier TF. The measurement of tibial acceleration in runners - a review of the factors that can affect tibial acceleration during running and evidence-based guidelines for its use. *Gait Posture*. 2019;67:12-24. doi: 10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.09.017. Epub 2018 Sep 14.
39. Chaudru S, Jehannin P, de Mullenheim PY, et al. Using wearable monitors to assess daily walking limitations induced by ischemic pain in peripheral artery disease. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2019;29(11):1813-1826. doi: 10.1111/sms.13511. Epub 2019 Jul 31.
40. Simpson L, Maharaj MM, Mobbs RJ. The role of wearables in spinal posture analysis: a systematic review. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2019;20(1):55. doi: 10.1186/s12891-019-2430-6.
41. Papi E, Koh WS, McGregor AH. Wearable technology for spine movement assessment: A systematic review. *J Biomech*. 2017;64:186-197. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2017.09.037. Epub 2017 Oct 7.
42. Blair S, Duthie G, Robertson S, Hopkins W, Ball K. Concurrent validation of an inertial measurement system to quantify kicking biomechanics in four football codes. *J Biomech*. 2018;73:24-32. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2018.03.031. Epub 2018 Mar 21.
43. O'Reilly MA, Whelan DF, Ward TE, Delahunt E, Caulfield BM. Technology in strength and conditioning: assessing bodyweight squat technique with wearable sensors. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2017;31(8):2303-2312. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000001957.
44. Chen DKY, Haller M, Besier TF. Wearable lower limb haptic feedback device for retraining foot progression angle and step width. *Gait Posture*. 2017;55:177-183. doi: 10.1016/j.gaitpost.2017.04.028. Epub 2017 Apr 24.
45. Ammann R, Taube W, Wyss T. Accuracy of PARTwear inertial sensor and optojump optical measurement system for measuring ground contact time during running. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2016;30(7):2057-2063. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000001299.

46. Falbriard M, Meyer F, Mariani B, Millet GP, Aminian K. Accurate estimation of running temporal parameters using foot-worn inertial sensors. *Front Physiol.* 2018;9:610. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2018.00610. eCollection 2018.
47. Wouda FJ, Giuberti M, Bellusci G, et al. Estimation of vertical ground reaction forces and sagittal knee kinematics during running using three inertial sensors. *Front Physiol.* 2018;9:218. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2018.00218. eCollection 2018.
48. de Magalhaes FA, Vannozi G, Gatta G, Fantozzi S. Wearable inertial sensors in swimming motion analysis: a systematic review. *J Sports Sci.* 2015;33(7):732-745.
49. Cortesi M, Giovanardi A, Gatta G, Mangia AL, Bartolomei S, Fantozzi S. Inertial sensors in swimming: detection of stroke phases through 3D wrist trajectory. *J Sports Sci Med.* 2019;18(3):438-447.
50. Pinho AS, Salazar AP, Hennig EM, Spessato BC, Domingo A, Pagnussat AS. Can we rely on mobile devices and other gadgets to assess the postural balance of healthy individuals? A systematic review. *Sensors* 2019;19(13):E2972.
51. Patterson JA, Amick RZ, Thummar T, Rogers ME. Validation of measures from the smartphone sway balance application: a pilot study. *Int J Sports Phys Ther.* 2014;9(2):135-139.
52. Hsieh KL, Roach KL, Wajda DA, Sosnoff JJ. Smartphone technology can measure postural stability and discriminate fall risk in older adults. *Gait Posture.* 2019;67:160-165. doi: 10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.10.005. Epub 2018 Oct 9.
53. Polechonski J, Nawrocka A, Wodarski P, Tomik R. Applicability of smartphone for dynamic postural stability evaluation. *Biomed Res Int.* 2019;2019:9753898. doi: 10.1155/2019/9753898. eCollection 2019.
54. Saez de Asteasu ML, Martinez-Velilla N, Zambom-Ferraresi F, Casas-Herrero A, Millor N, Izquierdo M. Quantifying physical functional trajectory in hospitalized older adults using body worn inertial sensors. *J Biomech.* 2019;92:105-111. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2019.05.038. Epub 2019 May 28.
55. Kelly P, Doherty AR, Hamilton A, et al. Evaluating the feasibility of measuring travel to school using a wearable camera. *Am J Prev Med.* 2012;43(5):546-550. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2012.07.027.
56. O'Connor S, McCaffrey N, Whyte E, Moran K. The novel use of a SenseCam and accelerometer to validate training load and training information in a self-recall training diary. *J Sports Sci.* 2016;34(4):303-310. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2015.1050600. Epub 2015 Jun 2.
57. Hernando D, Garatachea N, Almeida R, Casajus JA, Bailon R. Validation of heart rate monitor polar RS800 for heart rate variability analysis during exercise. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2018;32(3):716-725. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000001662.
58. Schneider C, Hanakam F, Wiewelhove T, et al. Heart rate monitoring in team sports - a conceptual framework for contextualizing heart rate measures for training and recovery prescription. *Front Physiol.* 2018;9:639. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2018.00639. eCollection 2018.
59. Losa-Iglesias ME, Becerro-de-Bengoa-Vallejo R, Becerro-de-Bengoa-Losa KR. Reliability and concurrent validity of a peripheral pulse oximeter and health-app system for the quantification of heart rate in healthy adults. *Health Informatics J.* 2016;22(2):151-159.
60. Thomson EA, Nuss K, Comstock A, et al. Heart rate measures from the Apple Watch, Fitbit Charge HR 2, and electrocardiogram across different exercise intensities. *J Sports Sci.* 2019;37(12):1411-1419. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2018.1560644. Epub 2019 Jan 18.
61. Cadmus-Bertram L, Gangnon R, Wirkus EJ, Thraen-Borowski KM, Gorzelitz-Liebhauser J. The accuracy of heart rate monitoring by some wrist-worn activity trackers. *Ann Intern Med.* 2017;166(8):610-612. doi: 10.7326/L16-0353. Epub 2017 Apr 11.
62. Kroll RR, Boyd JG, Maslove DM. Accuracy of a wrist-worn wearable device for monitoring heart rates in hospital inpatients: a prospective observational study. *J Med Internet Res.* 2016;18(9):e253. doi: 10.2196/jmir.6025.
63. Wang R, Blackburn G, Desai M, et al. Accuracy of wrist-worn heart rate monitors. *JAMA Cardiol.* 2017;2(1):104-106. doi: 10.1001/jamacardio.2016.3340.
64. Wallen MP, Gomersall SR, Keating SE, Wisloff U, Coombes JS. Accuracy of heart rate watches: implications for weight management. *PLoS One.* 2016;11(5):e0154420. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0154420. eCollection 2016.
65. Nelson BW, Allen NB. Accuracy of consumer wearable heart rate measurement during an ecologically valid 24-hour period: intraindividual validation study. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth.* 2019;7(3):e10828. doi: 10.2196/10828.
66. Thiebaud RS, Funk MD, Patton JC, et al. Validity of wrist-worn consumer products to measure heart rate and energy expenditure. *Digit Health.* 2018;4:2055207618770322. doi: 10.1177/2055207618770322. eCollection 2018 Jan-Dec.
67. Klepin K, Wing D, Higgins M, Nichols J, Godino JG. Validity of cardiorespiratory fitness measured with fitbit compared to VO₂max. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2019;51(11):2251-2256. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000002041.
68. Kinnunen H, Hakkinen K, Schumann M, Karavirta L, Westerterp KR, Kyrolainen H. Training-induced

- changes in daily energy expenditure: methodological evaluation using wrist-worn accelerometer, heart rate monitor, and doubly labeled water technique. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(7):e0219563. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0219563. eCollection 2019.
69. Plews DJ, Laursen PB, Stanley J, Kilding AE, Buchheit M. Training adaptation and heart rate variability in elite endurance athletes: opening the door to effective monitoring. *Sports Med*. 2013;43(9):773-781. doi: 10.1007/s40279-013-0071-8.
 70. Singh N, Moneghetti KJ, Christle JW, Hadley D, Froelicher V, Plews D. Heart rate variability: an old metric with new meaning in the era of using mHealth technologies for health and exercise training guidance. Part two: prognosis and training. *Arrhythm Electrophysiol Rev*. 2018;7(4):247-255. doi: 10.15420/aer.2018.30.2.
 71. Buchheit M. Monitoring training status with HR measures: do all roads lead to Rome? *Front Physiol*. 2014;5:73. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2014.00073. eCollection 2014.
 72. Dobbs WC, Fedewa MV, MacDonald HV, et al. The accuracy of acquiring heart rate variability from portable devices: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Med*. 2019;49(3):417-435. doi: 10.1007/s40279-019-01061-5.
 73. Buchheit M, Lacome M, Cholley Y, Simpson BM. Neuromuscular responses to conditioned soccer sessions assessed via GPS-embedded accelerometers: insights into tactical periodization. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2018;13(5):577-583. doi: 10.1123/ijspp.2017-0045. Epub 2018 May 22.
 74. Halson SL. Sleep monitoring in athletes: motivation, methods, miscalculations and why it matters. *Sports Med*. 2019;49(10):1487-1497. doi: 10.1007/s40279-019-01119-4.
 75. Claudino JG, Gabbert T, de Sa Souza H, et al. Which parameters to use for sleep quality monitoring in team sport athletes? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med*. 2019;5(1):e000475. doi: 10.1136/bmjsbm-2018-000475. eCollection 2019.
 76. Dunican IC, Walsh J, Higgins CC, et al. Prevalence of sleep disorders and sleep problems in an elite super rugby union team. *J Sports Sci*. 2019;37(8):950-957. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2018.1537092. Epub 2018 Oct 29.
 77. Kolla BP, Mansukhani S, Mansukhani MP. Consumer sleep tracking devices: a review of mechanisms, validity and utility. *Expert Rev Med Devices*. 2016;13(5):497-506.
 78. Sargent C, Lastella M, Halson SL, Roach GD. The validity of activity monitors for measuring sleep in elite athletes. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2016;19(10):848-853. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2015.12.007. Epub 2015 Dec 12.
 79. Sekiguchi Y, Adams WM, Benjamin CL, Curtis RM, Giersch GEW, Casa DJ. Relationships between resting heart rate, heart rate variability and sleep characteristics among female collegiate cross-country athletes. *J Sleep Res*. 2019;28(6):e12836. doi: 10.1111/jsr.12836. Epub 2019 Mar 6.
 80. Cheung RTH, Zhang JH, Chan ZYS, et al. Shoe-mounted accelerometers should be used with caution in gait retraining. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2019;29(6):835-842. doi: 10.1111/sms.13396. Epub 2019 Feb 15.
 81. Gurchiek RD, McGinnis RS, Needle AR, McBride JM, van Werkhoven H. The use of a single inertial sensor to estimate 3-dimensional ground reaction force during accelerative running tasks. *J Biomech*. 2017;61:263-268.
 82. Wundersitz DW, Netto KJ, Aisbett B, Gastin PB. Validity of an upper-body-mounted accelerometer to measure peak vertical and resultant force during running and change-of-direction tasks. *Sports Biomech*. 2013;12(4):403-412.
 83. Matijevich ES, Branscombe LM, Scott LR, Zelik KE. Ground reaction force metrics are not strongly correlated with tibial bone load when running across speeds and slopes: Implications for science, sport and wearable tech. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(1):e0210000. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0210000. eCollection 2019.
 84. Murray A, Buttfield A, Simpkin A, Sproule J, Turner AP. Variability of within-step acceleration and daily wellness monitoring in collegiate American football. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2019;22(4):488-493. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2018.10.013. Epub 2018 Nov 6.
 85. Buchheit M, Gray A, Morin JB. Assessing stride variables and vertical stiffness with GPS-embedded accelerometers: preliminary insights for the monitoring of neuromuscular fatigue on the field. *J Sports Sci Med*. 2015;14(4):698-701.
 86. Brennan JH, Mitra B, Synnot A, et al. Accelerometers for the assessment of concussion in male athletes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Med*. 2017;47(3):469-478.
 87. O'Connor KL, Rowson S, Duma SM, Broglio SP. Head-impact-measurement devices: a systematic review. *J Athl Train*. 2017;52(3):206-227. doi: 10.4085/1062-6050.52.2.05.
 88. Tyson AM, Duma SM, Rowson S. Laboratory evaluation of low-cost wearable sensors for measuring head impacts in sports. *J Appl Biomech*. 2018;34(4):320-326. doi: 10.1123/jab.2017-0256. Epub 2018 Jul 11.
 89. O'Sullivan D, Roe M, Blake C. Analysis of head impacts during sub-elite hurling practice sessions. *J Sports Sci*. 2018;36(11):1256-1261. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2017.1373196. Epub 2017 Sep 5.
 90. King DA, Hume PA, Gissane C, Kieser DC, Clark TN. Head impact exposure from match participation in women's rugby league over one season of domestic competition. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2018;21(2):139-146. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2017.10.026. Epub 2017 Oct 28.

91. Chambers RM, Gabbett TJ, Gupta R, et al. Automatic detection of one-on-one tackles and ruck events using microtechnology in rugby union. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2019;22(7):827-832. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2019.01.001. Epub 2019 Jan 6.
92. MacLeod SJ, Hagan C, Egana M, Davis J, Drake D. The use of microtechnology to monitor collision performance in professional rugby union. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*. 2018;13(8):1075-1082. doi: 10.1123/ijsp.2017-0124. Epub 2018 Sep 12.
93. Carey L, Stanwell P, Terry DP, et al. Verifying head impacts recorded by a wearable sensor using video footage in rugby league: a preliminary study. *Sports Med Open*. 2019;5(1):9. doi: 10.1186/s40798-019-0182-3.
94. King DA, Hume PA, Gissane C, Clark TN. Similar head impact acceleration measured using instrumented ear patches in a junior rugby union team during matches in comparison with other sports. *J Neurosurg Pediatr*. 2016;18(1):65-72. doi: 10.3171/2015.12.PEDS15605. Epub 2016 Mar 4.
95. Wu LC, Nangia V, Bui K, et al. In vivo evaluation of wearable head impact sensors. *Ann Biomed Eng*. 2016;44(4):1234-1245. doi: 10.1007/s10439-015-1423-3. Epub 2015 Aug 20.
96. King D, Hume PA, Brughelli M, Gissane C. Instrumented mouthguard acceleration analyses for head impacts in amateur rugby union players over a season of matches. *Am J Sports Med*. 2015;43(3):614-624. doi: 10.1177/0363546514560876. Epub 2014 Dec 22.
97. Kuo C, Wu L, Loza J, Senif D, Anderson SC, Camarillo DB. Comparison of video-based and sensor-based head impact exposure. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(6):e0199238. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0199238. eCollection 2018.
98. Bussey MD, McLean M, Pinfold J, et al. History of concussion is associated with higher head acceleration and reduced cervical muscle activity during simulated rugby tackle: An exploratory study. *Phys Ther Sport*. 2019;37:105-112. doi: 10.1016/j.ptsp.2019.03.012. Epub 2019 Mar 23.
99. Macadam P, Cronin J, Neville J, Diewald S. Quantification of the validity and reliability of sprint performance metrics computed using inertial sensors: A systematic review. *Gait Posture*. 2019;73:26-38. doi: 10.1016/j.gaitpost.2019.07.123. Epub 2019 Jul 2.
100. Waldron M, Worsfold P, Twist C, Lamb K. Concurrent validity and test-retest reliability of a global positioning system (GPS) and timing gates to assess sprint performance variables. *J Sports Sci*. 2011;29(15):1613-1619. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2011.608703. Epub 2011 Oct 18.
101. Gurchiek RD, Rupasinghe Arachchige Don HS, Pelawa Watagoda LCR, et al. Sprint assessment using machine learning and a wearable accelerometer. *J Appl Biomech*. 2019;35(2):164-169. doi: 10.1123/jab.2018-0107. Epub 2019 Feb 5.
102. Romero-Franco N, Jimenez-Reyes P, Castano-Zambudio A, et al. Sprint performance and mechanical outputs computed with an iPhone app: comparison with existing reference methods. *Eur J Sport Sci*. 2017;17(4):386-392.
103. Gao W, Brooks GA, Klonoff DC. Wearable physiological systems and technologies for metabolic monitoring. *J Appl Physiol* (1985). 2018;124(3):548-556. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00407.2017. Epub 2017 Sep 28.
104. Arakawa T, Kuroki Y, Nitta H, et al. Mouthguard biosensor with telemetry system for monitoring of saliva glucose: a novel cavitas sensor. *Biosens Bioelectron*. 2016;84:106-111. doi: 10.1016/j.bios.2015.12.014. Epub 2015 Dec 11.
105. Malon RS, Chua KY, Wicaksono DH, Corcoles EP. Cotton fabric-based electrochemical device for lactate measurement in saliva. *Analyst*. 2014;139(12):3009-3016. doi: 10.1039/c4an00201f. Epub 2014 Apr 29.
106. Papacosta E, Nassis GP. Saliva as a tool for monitoring steroid, peptide and immune markers in sport and exercise science. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2011;14(5):424-434. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2011.03.004. Epub 2011 Apr 7.
107. Keaney LC, Kilding AE, Merien F, Dulson DK. The impact of sport related stressors on immunity and illness risk in team-sport athletes. *J Sci Med Sport*. 2018;21(12):1192-1199. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2018.05.014. Epub 2018 Jun 20.
108. Ilea A, Andrei V, Feurdean CN, et al. Saliva, a magic biofluid available for multilevel assessment and a mirror of general health - a systematic review. *Biosensors*. 2019;9(1): pii E27. doi: 10.3390/bios9010027.
109. Malon RS, Sadir S, Balakrishnan M, Corcoles EP. Saliva-based biosensors: noninvasive monitoring tool for clinical diagnostics. *Biomed Res Int*. 2014;2014:962903. doi: 10.1155/2014/962903. Epub 2014 Sep 8.
110. Khan S, Ali S, Bermak A. Recent developments in printing flexible and wearable sensing electronics for healthcare applications. *Sensors*. 2019;19(5): pii E1230. doi: 10.3390/s19051230.
111. Borges NR, Driller MW. Wearable lactate threshold predicting device is valid and reliable in runners. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2016;30(8):2212-2218. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000001307.
112. Driller M, Borges N, Plews D. Evaluating a new wearable lactate threshold sensor in recreational to highly trained cyclists. *Sports Eng*. 2016;19(4):229-235.
113. Willy RW. Innovations and pitfalls in the use of wearable devices in the prevention and rehabilitation of running related injuries. *Phys Ther Sport*. 2018;29:26-33. doi: 10.1016/j.ptsp.2019.06.009. Epub 2019 Jun 22.
114. Low CA, Bovbjerg DH, Ahrendt S, et al. Fitbit step counts during inpatient recovery from cancer

- surgery as a predictor of readmission. *Ann Behav Med.* 2018;52(1):88-92. doi: 10.1093/abm/kax022.
115. Setuain I, Bikandi E, Amu-Ruiz FA, Izquierdo M. Horizontal jumping biomechanics among elite male handball players with and without anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. An inertial sensor unit-based study. *Phys Ther Sport.* 2019;39:52-63. doi: 10.1016/j.ptsp.2019.06.009. Epub 2019 Jun 22.
 116. Setuain I, Martinikorena J, Gonzalez-Izal M, et al. Vertical jumping biomechanical evaluation through the use of an inertial sensor-based technology. *J Sports Sci.* 2016;34(9):843-851. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2015.1075057. Epub 2015 Aug 10.
 117. Paterno MV, Ford KR, Myer GD, Heyl R, Hewett TE. Limb asymmetries in landing and jumping 2 years following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2007;17(4):258-262.
 118. Bishop C, Lake J, Loturco I, Papadopoulos K, Turner A, Read P. Interlimb asymmetries: the need for an individual approach to data analysis. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2018; Publish Ahead of Print. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000002729
 119. Impellizzeri FM, Rampinini E, Maffiuletti N, Marcra SM. A vertical jump force test for assessing bilateral strength asymmetry in athletes. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2007;39(11):2044-2050.
 120. Mazzetti SA, Kraemer WJ, Volek JS, et al. The influence of direct supervision of resistance training on strength performance. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2000;32(6):1175-1184.
 121. Muntaner-Mas A, Martinez-Nicolas A, Lavie CJ, et al. A systematic review of fitness apps and their potential clinical and sports utility for objective and remote assessment of cardiorespiratory fitness. *Sports Med.* 2019;49(4):587-600. doi: 10.1007/s40279-019-01084-y.
 122. Amorim AB, Pappas E, Simic M, et al. Integrating Mobile-health, health coaching, and physical activity to reduce the burden of chronic low back pain trial (IMPACT): a pilot randomised controlled trial. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2019;20(1):71. doi: 10.1186/s12891-019-2454-y.
 123. Li LC, Sayre EC, Xie H, Clayton C, Feehan LM. A community-based physical activity counselling program for people with knee osteoarthritis: feasibility and preliminary efficacy of the track-OA study. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth.* 2017;5(6):e86. doi: 10.2196/mhealth.7863.
 124. Robertson S, Bartlett JD, Gastin PB. Red, amber, or green? Athlete monitoring in team sport: the need for decision-support systems. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2017;12(Suppl 2):S273-S279. doi: 10.1123/ijspp.2016-0541. Epub 2016 Dec 14.
 125. Balsalobre-Fernandez C, Marchante D, Baz-Valle E, Alonso-Molero I, Jimenez SL, Munoz-Lopez M. Analysis of wearable and smartphone-based technologies for the measurement of barbell velocity in different resistance training exercises. *Front Physiol.* 2017;8:649. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2017.00649. eCollection 2017.
 126. Perez-Castilla A, Piepoli A, Garrido-Blanca G, Delgado-Garcia G, Balsalobre-Fernandez C, Garcia-Ramos A. Precision of 7 commercially available devices for predicting the bench press 1-repetition maximum from the individual load-velocity relationship. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2019;14(10):1442-1446.
 127. MacDonald K, Bahr R, Baltich J, Whittaker JL, Meeuwisse WH. Validation of an inertial measurement unit for the measurement of jump count and height. *Phys Ther Sport.* 2017;25:15-19. doi: 10.1016/j.ptsp.2016.12.001. Epub 2016 Dec 7.
 128. Charlton PC, Kenneally-Dabrowski C, Sheppard J, Spratford W. A simple method for quantifying jump loads in volleyball athletes. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2017;20(3):241-245. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2016.07.007. Epub 2016 Aug 5.
 129. Skazalski C, Whiteley R, Hansen C, Bahr R. A valid and reliable method to measure jump-specific training and competition load in elite volleyball players. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2018;28(5):1578-1585. doi: 10.1111/smss.13052. Epub 2018 Feb 8.
 130. Spangler R, Rantanainen T, Gastin PB, Wundersitz D. Inertial sensors are a valid tool to detect and consistently quantify jumping. *Int J Sports Med.* 2018;39(10):802-808. doi: 10.1055/s-0044-100793. Epub 2018 Jul 19.
 131. Peebles AT, Maguire LA, Renner KE, Queen RM. Validity and repeatability of single-sensor loadsol insoles during landing. *Sensors (Basel).* 2018;18(12): pii E4082. doi: 10.3390/s18124082.
 132. Peebles AT, Ford KR, Taylor JB, Hart JM, Sands LP, Queen RM. Using force sensing insoles to predict kinetic knee symmetry during a stop jump. *J Biomech.* 2019;109293. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2019.07.037. Epub 2019 Aug 7.
 133. Rago V, Brito J, Figueiredo P, et al. Countermovement jump analysis using different portable devices: implications for field testing. *Sports (Basel)* 2018;6(3): pii E91. doi: 10.3390/sports6030091.
 134. Gathercole RJ, Sporer BC, Stellingwerff T, Sleivert GG. Comparison of the capacity of different jump and sprint field tests to detect neuromuscular fatigue. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2015;29(9):2522-2531. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000000912.
 135. Picerno P, Camomilla V, Capranica L. Countermovement jump performance assessment using a wearable 3D inertial measurement unit. *J Sports Sci.* 2011;29(2):139-146.

136. Sharp AP, Cronin JB, Neville J. Utilizing smartphones for jump diagnostics: a brief review of the validity and reliability of the My Jump app. *Strength Cond J.* 2019;41(5):96-107. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2010.523089.
137. Toft Nielsen E, Jorgensen PB, Mechlenburg I, Sorensen H. Validation of an inertial measurement unit to determine countermovement jump height. *Asia Pac J Sports Med Arthrosc Rehabil Technol.* 2019;16:8-13. doi: 10.1016/j.aspmart.2018.09.002. eCollection 2019 Apr.
138. Montoye AHK, Conger SA, Mitrzyk JR, Beach C, Fox AK, Steeves JA. Test-retest and inter-monitor reliability of the atlas activity monitor for assessing resistance training exercises. *J Meas Phys Behav.* 2019;2(1):28-35.
139. Conger SA, Guo J, Fulkerson SM, Pedigo L, Chen H, Bassett DR, Jr. Objective assessment of strength training exercises using a wrist-worn accelerometer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2016;48(9):1847-1855. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000000949.
140. Scott BR, Duthie GM, Thornton HR, Dascombe BJ. Training monitoring for resistance exercise: theory and applications. *Sports Med.* 2016;46(5):687-698. doi: 10.1007/s40279-015-0454-0.
141. Randell AD, Cronin JB, Keogh JW, Gill ND, Pedersen MC. Effect of instantaneous performance feedback during 6 weeks of velocity-based resistance training on sport-specific performance tests. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2011;25(1):87-93. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181fee634.
142. Weakley J, Till K, Sampson J, et al. The effects of augmented feedback on sprint, jump, and strength adaptations in rugby union players following a four week training programme. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2019;14(9):1205-1211. doi: 10.1123/ijsspp.2018-0523. [Epub ahead of print]
143. Perez-Castilla A, Piepoli A, Delgado-Garcia G, Garrido-Blanca G, Garcia-Ramos A. Reliability and concurrent validity of seven commercially available devices for the assessment of movement velocity at different intensities during the bench press *J Strength Cond Res.* 2019;33(5):1258-1265. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000003118.
144. Courel-Ibanez J, Martinez-Cava A, Moran-Navarro R, et al. Reproducibility and repeatability of five different technologies for bar velocity measurement in resistance training. *Ann Biomed Eng.* 2019;47(7):1523-1538. doi: 10.1007/s10439-019-02265-6. Epub 2019 Apr 12.
145. Orange ST, Metcalfe JW, Liefelth A, et al. Validity and reliability of a wearable inertial sensor to measure velocity and power in the back squat and bench press. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2019;33(9):2398-2408. doi: 10.1519/JSC.0000000000002574.
146. Ferro A, Floria P, Villacieros J, Munoz-Lopez A. Maximum velocity during loaded countermovement jumps obtained with an accelerometer, linear encoder and force platform: a comparison of technologies. *J Biomech.* 2019;109281. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2019.07.025. Epub 2019 Jul 31.
147. Sanchez-Medina L, Perez CE, Gonzalez-Badillo JJ. Importance of the propulsive phase in strength assessment. *Int J Sports Med.* 2010;31(2):123-129. doi: 10.1055/s-0029-1242815. Epub 2009 Dec 17.
148. Jimenez-Reyes P, Samozino P, Brughelli M, Morin JB. Effectiveness of an individualized training based on force-velocity profiling during jumping. *Front Physiol.* 2016;7:677. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2016.00677. eCollection 2016.
149. Weakley J, Ramirez-Lopez C, McLaren S, et al. The effects of 10%, 20%, and 30% velocity loss thresholds on kinetic, kinematic, and repetition characteristics during the barbell back squat. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2019;1-9. doi: 10.1123/ijsspp.2018-1008. [Epub ahead of print]
150. Pareja-Blanco F, Rodriguez-Rosell D, Sanchez-Medina L, et al. Effects of velocity loss during resistance training on athletic performance, strength gains and muscle adaptations. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* 2017;27(7):724-735. doi: 10.1111/sms.12678. Epub 2016 Mar 31.
151. Saw AE, Main LC, Gastin PB. Monitoring the athlete training response: subjective self-reported measures trump commonly used objective measures: a systematic review. *Br J Sports Med.* 2016;50(5):281-291. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2015-094758. Epub 2015 Sep 9.
152. Thornton HR, Delaney JA, Duthie GM, Dascombe BJ. Developing athlete monitoring systems in team-sports: data analysis and visualization. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2019;14(6):698-705. doi: 10.1123/ijsspp.2018-0169.
153. Malone JJ, Lovell R, Varley MC, Coutts AJ. Unpacking the black box: applications and considerations for using GPS devices in sport. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* 2017;12(Suppl 2):S218-S226. doi: 10.1123/ijsspp.2016-0236. Epub 2016 Oct 13.
154. Park S, Toth LP, Hibbing PR, et al. Dominant vs. non-dominant wrist placement of activity monitors: impact on steps per day. *J Meas Phys Behav.* 2019;2(2):118-123.
155. Del Din S, Hickey A, Hurwitz N, Mathers JC, Rochester L, Godfrey A. Measuring gait with an accelerometer-based wearable: influence of device location, testing protocol and age. *Physiol Meas.* 2016;37(10):1785-1797.
156. Funk MD, Salazar CL, Martinez M, et al. Validity of smartphone applications at measuring steps: does wear location matter? *J Meas Phys Behav.* 2019;2(1):22-28.
157. Edwardson CL, Davies M, Khunti K, Yates T, Rowlands AV. Steps per day measured by consumer activity trackers worn at the non-dominant and dominant wrist

- relative to a waist-worn pedometer. *J Meas Phys Behav*. 2018;1(1):2-8.
158. Piwek L, Ellis DA, Andrews S, Joinson A. The rise of consumer health wearables: promises and barriers. *PLoS Med*. 2016;13(2):e1001953. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1001953. eCollection 2016 Feb.
159. Jones DM, Hart HF, Crossley KM, Ackerman IN, Kemp JL. What is the agreement between two generations of commercial accelerometer in a free-living environment for young to middle-aged adults? *J Meas Phys Behav*. 2019;2(2):49-57.
160. Kobsar D, Ferber R. Wearable sensor data to track subject-specific movement patterns related to clinical outcomes using a machine learning approach. *Sensors (Basel)*. 2018;18(9): pii E2828. doi: 10.3390/s18092828.
161. Lobelo F, Kelli HM, Tejedor SC, et al. The Wild Wild West: a framework to integrate mHealth software applications and wearables to support physical activity assessment, counseling and interventions for cardiovascular disease risk reduction. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis*. 2016;58(6):584-594. doi: 10.1016/j.pcad.2016.02.007. Epub 2016 Feb 26.

NOTES