


# Outcomes in Orthopaedic Physical Therapy Practice

Independent Study Course 26.1.1

## Patient-reported Outcome Measures—Framework, Psychometrics, and Uses

D. Scott Davis, PT, MS, EdD, OCS  
Professor and Director of Professional Education  
Department of Human Performance and Exercise Sciences  
School of Medicine  
West Virginia University  
Morgantown, West Virginia

Orthopaedic Section, APTA, Inc.  
Downloaded from www.orthopteam.org at the Orthopaedic Section on September 26, 2018. For personal use only. No other uses without permission.  
Copyright © 2016 Orthopaedic Section, APTA, Inc. All rights reserved.



## REFERENCES

1. Roddey TS, Cook KF, O'Malley KJ, Gartsman GM. The relationship among strength and mobility measures and self-report outcome scores in persons after rotator cuff repair surgery: Impairment measures are not enough. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2005;14:95S-98S.
2. Sullivan M. The new subjective medicine: taking the patient's point of view on health care and health. *Soc Sci Med.* 2003;56(7):1595-1604.
3. Kay TM, Myers AM, Huijbregts MPJ. How far have we come since 1992? A comparative survey of physiotherapists' use of outcome measures. *Physiother Can.* 2001;53:268-275.
4. Michener LA. Patient- and clinician-rated outcome measures for clinical decision making in rehabilitation. *J Sport Rehabil.* 2011;20(1):37-45.
5. Jette DU, Halbert J, Iverson C, Miceli E, Shah P. Use of standardized outcome measures in physical therapist practice: perceptions and applications. *Phys Ther.* 2009;89:125-135. doi: 10.2522/ptj.20080234.
6. Russek L, Wooden M, Ekedahl S, Bush A. Attitudes toward standardized data collection. *Phys Ther.* 1997;77(7):714-729.
7. Pengel LHM, Refshauge KM, Maher CG. Responsiveness of pain, disability, and physical impairment outcomes in patients with low back pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2004;29(8):879-883.
8. Horn KK, Jennings S, Richardson G, Van Vliet D, Hefford C, Abbott JH. The patient-specific functional scale: Psychometrics, clinimetrics, and application as a clinical outcome measure. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2012;42:30-42. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2012.3727.
9. Beattie P. Measurement of health outcomes in the clinical setting: applications to physiotherapy. *Physiother Theory Pract.* 2001;17:173-185.
10. Relman AS. Assessment and accountability: the third revolution in medical care. *N Eng J Med.* 1988;319(18):1220-1222.
11. Huijbregts MPJ, Myers AM, Kay TM, Gavin TS. Systematic outcome measurement in clinical practice: challenges experienced by physiotherapists. *Physiother Can.* 2002;54:25-31.
12. Poolman RW, Swiontkowski MF, Fairbank JCT, Schemitsch EH, Sprague S, de Vet HC. Outcome instruments: Rationale for their use. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2009;Suppl 3:41-49. doi: 10.2106/JBJS.H.01551.
13. Nicholas P, Hefford C, Tumilty S. The use of the Patient-Specific Functional Scale to measure rehabilitative progress in a physiotherapy setting. *J Man Manip Ther.* 2012;20(3):147-152. doi: 10.1179/2042618612Y.0000000006.
14. Brooks D, Stratford PW, Mayo NE. *Physical Rehabilitation Outcome Measures: A Guide to Enhanced Clinical Decision-Making.* 2nd ed. Baltimore, MD: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2002.
15. Latham NK, Mehta V, Nguyen AM, et al. Performance-based or self-report measures of physical function: Which should be used in clinical trials of hip fracture patients? *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2008;89(11):2146-2155. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2008.04.016.
16. Dawson J, Doll H, Fitzpatrick R, Jenkinson C, Carr AJ. The routine use of patient reported outcome measures in healthcare settings. *BMJ.* 2010;340:c186. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c186.
17. Frost MH, Reeve BB, Liepa AM, Stauffer JW, Hays RD. What is sufficient evidence for the reliability and validity of patient-reported outcome measures? *Value Health.* 2007;10:S94-S105.
18. Morris LA, Miller DW. The regulation of patient-reported outcome claims: need for flexible standards. *Value Health.* 2002;5(4):372-381.
19. Morisky DE, Green LW, Levine DM. Concurrent and predictive validity of a self-reported measure of medication adherence. *Med Care.* 1986;24(1):67-74.
20. Guralnik JM, Branch LG, Cummings SR, Curb JD. Physical performance measures in aging research. *J Gerontol.* 1989;44(5):M141-M146.
21. Stratford PW, Kennedy DM. Performance measures were necessary to obtain a complete picture of osteoarthritic patients. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2006;59(2):160-167.
22. Bellamy N. Osteoarthritis clinical trials: candidate variables and clinimetric properties. *J Rheumatol.* 1997;24(4):768-778.
23. Nilsson AK, Roos EM, Westerlund JP, Roos HP, Lohmander LS. Comparative responsiveness of measures of pain and function after total hip replacement. *Arthritis Care Res.* 2001;45:258-262.
24. Kreibich DN, Vaz M, Bourne RB, et al. What is the best way of assessing outcome after total knee replacement? *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1996;(331):221-225.
25. Konan S, Hossain F, Patel S, Haddad FS. Measuring function after hip and knee surgery: The evidence to support performance-based functional outcome tasks. *Bone Joint J.* 2014;96-B(11):1431-1435. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.96B11.33773.

26. Beauchamp MK, Jette AM, Ward RE, et al. Predictive validity and responsiveness of patient-reported and performance-based measures of function in the Boston RISE study. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 2015;70(5):616-622. doi:10.1093/geronol/glu227.
27. Hoeymans N, Feskens EJM, van den Bos GAM, Kromhout D. Measuring functional status: cross-sectional and longitudinal association between performance and self-report (Zutphen Elderly Study 1990-1993). *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1996;49:1103-1110.
28. Fried LP, Young Y, Rubin G, Bandeen-Roche K; WHAS II Collaborate Research Group. Self-reported preclinical disability identifies older women with early declines in performance and early disease. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2001;54(9):889-901.
29. Parent E, Moffet H. Comparative responsiveness of locomotor tests and questionnaires used to follow early recovery after total knee arthroplasty. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2002;83(1):70-80.
30. Maly MR, Costigan PA, Olney SJ. Determinants of self-report outcome measures in people with knee osteoarthritis. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2006;87(1):96-104.
31. Stratford PW, Kennedy DM, Woodhouse LJ. Performance measures provide assessment of pain and function in patients with advanced osteoarthritis of the hip or knee. *Phys Ther*. 2006;86(11):1489-1496.
32. Kennedy DM, Stratford PW, Riddle DL, Hanna SE, Gollish JD. Assessing recovery and establishing prognosis following total knee arthroplasty. *Phys Ther*. 2008;88(1):22-32.
33. Terwee CB, van der Slikke RM, van Lummel RC, Benink RJ, Meijers WG, de Vet HC. Self-reported physical functioning was more influenced by pain than performance-based physical functioning in knee-osteoarthritis patients. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2006;59(7):724-731.
34. Cappelleri JC, Zou KH, Bushmakina AG, Alvir JM, Alemayehu D, Symonds T. *Patient-reported Outcomes: Measurement, Implementation, and Interpretation*. Boca Raton, FL: Taylor & Francis Group, LLC; 2014.
35. Feinstein AR. *Clinometrics*. London: Yale University Press; 1987.
36. Nelson-Gray RO. Treatment outcome measures: nomothetic or idiographic? *Clin Psychol Sci Pract*. 1996;3:164-167.
37. Bohannon RW, DePasquale L. Physical functioning scale of the short-form (SF) 36: Internal consistency and validity with older adults. *J Geriatric Phys Ther*. 2010;33(1):16-18.
38. de Haan RJ. Measuring quality of life after stroke using the SF-36. *Stroke*. 2002;33(5):1176-1177.
39. Benzo R, Flume PA, Turner D, Tempest M. Effect of pulmonary rehabilitation on quality of life in patients with COPD: The use of the SF-36 summary scores as outcomes measures. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil*. 2000;20(4):231-234.
40. Follick MJ, Smith TW, Ahern DK. The sickness impact profile: a global measure of disability in chronic low back pain. *Pain*. 1985;21(1):67-76.
41. McPhail SM, Bagraith KS, Schippers M, Wells PJ, Hatton A. Use of condition-specific patient reported outcome measures in clinical trials among patients with wrist osteoarthritis: A systematic review. *Adv Orthop*. 2012;2012:273421. doi: 10.1155/2012/273421.
42. Rabin R, de Charro F. EQ-5D: a measure of health status from the EuroQol group. *Ann Med*. 2001;33(5):337-343.
43. Guyatt GH, Jaeschke RJ. Reassessing quality of life instruments in the evaluation of new drugs. *Pharmacoeconomics*. 1997;12:616-626.
44. Maciejewski M. Generic measures In: Kane RL, ed. *Understanding Health Care Outcomes Research*. Gaithersburg, MD: Aspen; 1997:19-52.
45. Busija L, Osborne RH, Nilsdotter A, Buchbinder R, Roos EM. Magnitude and meaningfulness of change in SF-36 scores in four types of orthopedic surgery. *Health Quality Life Outcomes*. 2008;6:55. doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-6-55.
46. Suarez-Almazor ME, Kendall C, Johnson JA, Skeith K, Vincent D. Use of health status measures in patients with low back pain in clinical settings. Comparison of specific, generic and preference-based instruments. *Rheumatology (Oxford)*. 2000;39(7):783-790.
47. Westaway MD, Stratford PW, Binkley JM. The patient-specific functional scale: Validation of its use in persons with neck dysfunction. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 1998;27(5):331-338.
48. Fries JF, Spitz P, Kraines G, Holman HR. Measurement of patient outcome in arthritis. *Arthritis Rheum*. 1980;23(2):137-145.
49. Nixon A, Kerr C, Doll H, Naegeli AN, et al. Osteoporosis assessment questionnaire-physical function (OPAQ-PF): a psychometrically validated osteoporosis-targeted patient reported outcome measure of daily activities of physical function. *Osteoporos Int*. 2014;25(6):1775-1784. doi: 10.1007/s00198-014-2695-4.
50. Taylor WJ. Gout measures: South Assessment Questionnaire (GAQ, GAQ2.0), and physical measurement of tophi. *Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken)*. 2011;63 Suppl 11:S59-S63. doi: 10.1002/acr.20622.



51. Cleland JA, Fritz JM, Childs JD. Psychometric properties of the fear-avoidance beliefs questionnaire and Tampa scale of kinesiophobia in patients with neck pain. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2008;87(2):109-117.
52. Mintken PE, Cleland JA, Whitman JM, George SZ. Psychometric properties of the Fear Avoidance Beliefs Questionnaire and Tampa Scale of Kinesiophobia in patients with shoulder pain. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2010;91(7):1128-1136.
53. Ross MD. The relationship between functional levels and fear-avoidance beliefs following anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *J Orthop Traumatol.* 2010;11(4):237-243. doi: 10.1007/s10195-010-0118-7.
54. Chatman AB, Hyams SP, Neel JM, et al. The patient-specific functional scale: measurement properties in patients with knee dysfunction. *Phys Ther.* 1997;77:820-829.
55. Patel KK, Veenstra DL, Patrick DL. A review of selected patient-generated outcome measures and their application in clinical trials. *Value Health.* 2003;6(5):595-603.
56. O'Boyle CA, McGee H, O'Malley K, Joyce CR. Individual quality of life in patients undergoing hip replacement. *Lancet.* 1992;339(8801):1088-1091.
57. Ruta DA, Garratt KAM, Leng M, Russell LT, MacDonald LM. A new approach to the measurement of quality of life: the patient-generated index (PGI). *Med Care.* 1994;32(11):1109-1126.
58. Ruta DA, Garratt AM, Russell IT. Patient centered assessment of quality of life for patients with four common conditions. *Quality Health Care.* 1999;8:22-29.
59. Macduff C, Russell E. The problem of measuring change in individual health-related quality of life by postal questionnaire: use of the patient-generated index in a disabled population. *Qual Life Res.* 1998;7(8):761-769.
60. Bayle B, Kemoun G, Miguad H, Thevenon A. Comparison of two modes of administration of a personalized quality of life scale in a longitudinal study of total arthroplasty. *Joint Bone Spine.* 2000;67(2):101-106.
61. McKenna SP, Doward LC. The needs-based approach to quality of life assessment. *Value Health.* 2004;7 Suppl1:S1-S3.
62. Di Fabio RP, Boissonnault W. Physical therapy and health-related outcomes for patients with common orthopaedic diagnoses. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 1998;27(3):219-230.
63. Abrams D, Davidson M, Harrick J, Harcourt P, Zylinski M, Clancy J. Monitoring the change: current trends in outcome measure usage in physiotherapy. *Man Ther.* 2006;11(1):46-53.
64. Dekker J, Dallmeijer AJ, Lankhorst GJ. Clinimetrics in rehabilitation medicine: current issues in developing and applying measurement instruments. *J Rehabil Med.* 2005;37(4):193-201.
65. American Physical Therapy Association. <http://www.ptoutcomes.com/Benefits/Clients/>. Accessed April 21, 2015.
66. American Physical Therapy Association. <http://www.apta.org/Vision/>. Accessed April 21, 2015.
67. Rothrock NE, Kaiser KA, Cella D. Developing a valid patient-reported outcome measure. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2011;90(5):737-742. doi: 10.1038/clpt.2011.195.
68. Guyatt GH, Feeny DH, Patrick DL. Measuring health-related quality of life. *Ann Intern Med.* 1993;118(8):622-629.
69. Streiner DL. Clinimetrics vs psychometrics: an unnecessary distinction. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2003;56:1142-1145; discussion 1146-1149.
70. DeVellis RF. Classical test theory. *Med Care.* 2006;44(11 Suppl 3):S50-S59.
71. Portney LG, Watkins MP. *Foundations of Clinical Research: Applications to Practice.* 3rd ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education, Inc.; 2009.
72. Kori SH, Miller RP, Todd DD. Kinesiophobia: a new view of chronic pain behavior. *Pain Manag.* 1990;3:35-43.
73. Irrgang JJ, Anderson AF, Boland AL, et al. Development and validation of the international knee documentation committee subjective knee form. *Am J Sports Med.* 2001;29(5):600-613.
74. Hays RD, Morales LS, Reise SP. Item response theory and health outcomes measurement in the 21st century. *Med Care.* 2000;38(9 Suppl):1128-1142.
75. Mokkink LB, Terwee CB, Patrick DL, et al. The COSMIN study reached international consensus on taxonomy, terminology, and definitions of measurement properties for health-related patient-reported outcomes. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2010;63(7):737-745. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2010.02.006.
76. Fleiss JL. *The Design and Analysis of Clinical Experiments.* New York, NY: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; 1986.
77. Nunnally J, Bernstein L. *Psychometric Theory.* New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Higher, Inc; 1994.
78. Tavakol M, Dennick R. Making sense of Cronbach's alpha. *Intern J Med Educ.* 2011;2:53-55.
79. Russek L. Factors affecting interpretation of reliability coefficients. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2004;34(6):341-349.
80. Scholtes VA, Terwee CB, Poolman RW. What makes a measurement instrument valid and reliable? *Injury.* 2011;42(3):236-240. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2010.11.042.

81. Roddey TS, Olson SL, Cook KF, Gartsman GM, Hanten W. Comparison of the University of California-Los Angeles Shoulder Scale and the Simple Shoulder Test with the shoulder pain and disability index: single-administration reliability and validity. *Phys Ther*. 2000;80(8):759-768.
82. Garrison C, Cook C. Clinimetrics corner: the Global Rating of Change Score (GRoC) poorly correlates with functional measures and is not temporally stable. *J Man Manip Ther*. 2012;20(4):178-181. doi: 10.1179/1066981712Z.00000000022.
83. De Souza MB, Martins J, Hotta GH, De Oliveira AS. Measurement properties of the Brazilian version of the Penn Shoulder Score (PSS-Brazil): reliability, validity, and responsiveness. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2015;45(2):137-142, E1. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2015.5165.
84. Davis DS, Barnette BJ, Kiger JT, Mirasola JJ, Young SM. Physical characteristics that predict functional performance in division I college football players. *J Strength Cond Res*. 2004;18(1):115-120.
85. Shrout PE, Fleiss JL. Intraclass correlations: uses in assessing rater reliability. *Psychol Bull*. 1979;86(2):420-428.
86. Stratford P. Reliability: consistency or differentiating among subjects? *Phys Ther*. 1989;69(4):299-300.
87. Davis DS, Mancinelli CA, Petronis JJ, Bensenhaver C, McClintic T, Nelson G. Variables associated with level of disability in working individuals with nonacute low back pain: A cross-sectional investigation. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2013;43(2):97-104. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2013.4382.
88. Flynn T, Fritz J, Whitman J, et al. A clinical prediction rule for classifying patients with low back pain who demonstrate short-term improvement with spinal manipulation. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2002;27(4):2835-2843.
89. Hicks GE, Fritz JM, Delitto A, McGill SM. Preliminary development of a clinical prediction rule for determining which patients with low back pain will respond to a stabilization program. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2005;86(9):1753-1762.
90. Terwee CB, Mokkink LB, Knol DL, Ostelo RWJG, Bouter LM, de Vet HCW. Rating the methodological quality in systematic reviews of studies on measurement properties: a scoring system for the COSMIN checklist. *Qual Life Res*. 2012;21(4):651-657. doi: 10.1007/s11136-011-9960-1.
91. Cronbach LJ. Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrika*. 1951;16:297-334.
92. Cronbach LJ, Warrington WG. Time-limit tests: estimating their reliability and degree of speeding. *Psychometrika*. 1951;16:167-188.
93. Kuder GF, Richardson MW. The theory of the estimation of test reliability. *Psychometrika*. 1937;2:151-160.
94. Bekkers JEJ, de Windt TS, Raijmakers NJH, Dhert WJA, Saris DBF. Validation of the knee injury and osteoarthritis outcome score (KOOS) for the treatment of focal cartilage lesions. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2009;17(11):1434-1439. doi: 10.1016/j.joca.2009.04.019.
95. Wheeler AH, Goolkasian P, Baird AC, Darden BV. Development of the Neck Pain and Disability Scale. Item analysis, face, and criterion-related validity. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 1999;24(13):1290-1294.
96. Ackelman BH, Lindgren U. Validity and reliability of a modified version of the neck disability index. *J Rehabil Med*. 2002;34(6):284-287.
97. Jenkinson C, Layte R, Jenkinson D, et al. A shorter form health survey: can the SF-12 replicate results from the SF-36 in longitudinal studies? *J Public Health Med*. 1997;19(2):179-186.
98. George SZ, Fritz JM, Childs JD. Investigation of elevated fear-avoidance beliefs for patients with low back pain: a secondary analysis involving patients enrolled in physical therapy clinical trials. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2008;38(2):50-58. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2008.2647.
99. Main CJ, George SZ. Psychosocial influences on low back pain: why should you care? *Phys Ther*. 2011;91(5):609-613. doi: 10.2522/ptj.2011.91.5.609.
100. Kemper SJ, Apeldorn AT, Chiarotto A, et al. Multidisciplinary biopsychosocial rehabilitation for chronic low back pain: Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br Med J*. 2015;350:h444.
101. Mokkink LB, Terwee CB, Gibbons E, Stratford PW, Alonso J, Patrick DL et al. Inter-rater agreement and reliability of the COSMIN (COnsensus-based Standards for the selection of health status Measurement INstruments) checklist. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2010;10:82. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-10-82.
102. Wu AD, Li Z, Zumbo BD. Decoding the meaning of factorial invariance and updating the practice of multi-group confirmatory factor analysis: a demonstration with TIMSS data. *Pract Assessment Res Eval*. 2007;12:1-26.
103. Beckstead JW, Yang CY, Lengacher CA. Assessing cross-cultural validity of scales: a methodological review and illustrative example. *Intern J Nursing Studies*. 2008;45:110-119.
104. Luan S, Min Y, Li G, et al. Cross-cultural adaptation, reliability, and validity of the chinese version of the STarT back screening tool in

- patients with low back pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2014;39(16):E974-E979. doi: 10.1097/BRS.0000000000000413.
105. Themistocleous GS, Goudelis G, Kyrou I, et al. Translation into Greek, cross-cultural adaptation and validation of the Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand Questionnaire (DASH). *J Hand Ther*. 2006;19(3):350-357.
  106. Campbell DT, Fiske DW. Convergent and discriminant validation by the multitrait-multimethod matrix. *Psych Bull*. 1959;56(2):81-105.
  107. MacDermid JC, Khadilkar L, Birmingham TB, Athwal GS. Validity of the QuickDASH in patients with shoulder-related disorders undergoing surgery. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*. 2015;45(1):25-36. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2015.5033.
  108. Roach KE, Budiman-Mak E, Songsiridej N, Le-tratanakul Y. Development of a shoulder pain disability index. *Arthritis Care Res*. 1991;4(4):143-149.
  109. MacDermid JC, Solomon P, Prkachin K. The Shoulder Pain and Disability Index demonstrates factor construct and longitudinal validity. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2006;7:12.
  110. Razmjou H, Bean A, van Osnabrugge V, MacDermid JC, Holtby R. Cross-sectional and longitudinal construct validity of two rotator cuff disease-specific outcome measures. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2006;7:26.
  111. Beaton DE, Bombardier C, Katz JN, Wright JG. A taxonomy for responsiveness. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2001;54(12):1204-1217.
  112. Salaffi F, Leardini G, Canesi B, et al. Reliability and validity of the Western Ontario and McMaster Universities (WOMAC) Osteoarthritis Index in Italian patients with osteoarthritis of the knee. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2003;11(8):551-560.
  113. Utzman RR, Riddle DL, Jewell DV. Use of demographic and quantitative admissions data to predict academic difficulty among professional physical therapist students. *Phys Ther*. 2007;87(9):1164-1180.
  114. Truchon M, Cote D. Predictive validity of the Chronic Pain Coping Inventory in subacute low back pain. *Pain*. 2005;116(3):205-212.
  115. McHorney CA, Tarlov AR. Individual-patient monitoring in clinical practice: are available health status surveys adequate? *Qual Life Res*. 1995;4(4):293-307.
  116. Terwee CB, Mokkink LB, van Poppel MN, Chinapaw MJ, van Mechelen W, de Vet HC. Qualitative attributes and measurement properties of physical activity questionnaires: a checklist. *Sports Med*. 2010;40(7):525-537. doi: 10.2165/11531370-000000000-00000.
  117. Liang MH. Evaluating measurement responsiveness. *J Rheumatol*. 1995;22:1191-1192.
  118. Stucki G, Liang MH, Fossel AH, Katz JN. Relative responsiveness of condition-specific and generic health status measures in degenerative lumbar spinal stenosis. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1995;48(11):1369-1378.
  119. Husted JA, Cook RJ, Farewell VT, Gladman DD. Methods for assessing responsiveness: a critical review and recommendations. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2000;53(5):459-468.
  120. Middel B, van Sonderen E. Statistical significant change versus relevant or important change in (quasi) experimental design: some conceptual and methodological problems in estimating magnitude of intervention-related change in health services research. *Int J Integr Care*. 2002;2:e15.
  121. Cohen J. *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences*. 2nd ed. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 1988.
  122. Monticone M, Ambrosini E, Vernon H, et al. Responsiveness and minimal important changes for the Neck Disability Index and the Neck Pain Disability Scale in Italian subjects with chronic neck pain. *Eur Spine J*. 2015 Feb 7 [Epub ahead of print].
  123. Roy JS, MacDermid JC, Amick BC, et al. Validity and responsiveness of presenteeism scales in chronic work-related upper extremity disorders. *Phys Ther*. 2011;91(2):254-266. doi: 10.2522/ptj.20090274.
  124. Luiz RR, Almeida MVR. On the measurement of change in medical research. *Intern J Stats Med Res*. 2012;1:144-147.
  125. Schmitt JS, Di Fabio RP. Reliable change and minimum important difference (MID) proportions facilitated group responsiveness comparisons using individual threshold criteria. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2004;57(10):1008-1018.
  126. Gummesson C, Atroshi I, Ekdhahl C. The disabilities of the arm, shoulder, and hand (DASH) outcome questionnaire: longitudinal construct validity and measuring self-rated health change after surgery. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2003;4:11.
  127. de Vet HC, Terwee CB, Ostelo RW, Beckerman H, Knol DL, Bouter LM. Minimal changes in health status questionnaires: distinction between minimally detectable change and minimally important change. *Health Quality Life Outcomes*. 2006;4:54.
  128. Turner D, Schunemann HJ, Griffith LE, et al. The minimal detectable change cannot reliably replace the minimal important difference. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2010;63(1):28-36. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2009.01.024.



129. Fritz JM, Irrgang JJ. A comparison of a modified Oswestry Low Back Pain Disability Questionnaire and the Quebec Back Pain Disability Scale. *Phys Ther.* 2001;81(2):776-788.
130. Jaeschke R, Singer J, Guyatt GH. Measurement of health status. Ascertaining the minimal clinically important difference. *Control Clin Trials.* 1989;10(4):407-415.
131. Hack LM and Gwyer J. *Evidence into Practice: Integrating Judgment, Values, and Research.* Philadelphia, PA: F.A. Davis Company; 2013.
132. Terwee CB, Roorda LD, Knol DL, De Boer MR, De Vet HCW. Linking measurement error to minimal important change of patient-reported outcomes. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2009;62(10):1062-1067. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2008.10.011.
133. Pool JJM, Ostelo RWJG, Hoving JL, Bouter LM, de Vet HCW. Minimal clinically important change of the neck disability index and the numerical rating scale for patients with neck pain. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976).* 2007;32(26):3047-3051.
134. McGlothlin AE, Lewis RJ. Minimal clinically important difference: defining what really matters to patients. *JAMA.* 2014;312(13):1342-1343. doi: 10.1001/jama.2014.13128.
135. Juniper EF, Guyatt GH, Willan A, Griffith LE. Determining a minimal important change in a disease-specific Quality of Life Questionnaire. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1994;47(1):81-87.
136. Wyrwich KW, Tierney WM, Wolinsky FD. Further evidence supporting an SEM-based criterion for identifying meaningful intra-individual changes in health-related quality of life. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 1999;52(9):861-873.
137. Cook CE. Clinimetrics Corner: The minimal clinically important change score (MCID): A necessary pretense. *J Man Manip Ther.* 2008;16(4):E82-83.
138. Lydick E, Epstein RS. Interpretation of quality of life changes. *Qual Life Res.* 1993;2(3):221-226.
139. Crosby RD, Kolotkin RL, Williams GR. Defining clinically meaningful change in health-related quality of life. *J Clin Epidemiol.* 2003;56(5):395-407.
140. Haley SM, Fragala-Pinkham MA. Interpreting change scores of tests and measures used in physical therapy. *Phys Ther.* 2006;86(5):735-743.
141. Norman GR, Sridhar FG, Guyatt GH, Walter SD. Relation of distribution- and anchor-based approaches in interpretation of changes in health-related quality of life. *Med Care.* 2001;39(10):1039-1047.
142. Katz NP, Paillard FC, Ekman E. Determining the clinical importance of treatment benefits for interventions for painful orthopedic conditions. *J Orthop Surg Res.* 2015;10:24. doi: 10.1186/s13018-014-0144-x.
143. Leggin BG, Michener LA, Shaffer MA, Brenneman SK, Iannotti JP, Williams GR Jr. The Penn shoulder score: reliability and validity. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther.* 2006;36(3):138-151.
144. Speer DC, Greenbaum PE. Five methods for computing significant individual client change and improvement rates: support for an individual growth curve approach. *J Consul Clin Psychol.* 1995;63(6):1044-1048.
145. Curran PJ, Obeidat K, Losardo D. Twelve frequently asked questions about growth curve modeling. *J Cogn Dev.* 2010;11(2):121-136.
146. Jacobson NS, Truax P. Clinical significance: a statistical approach to defining meaningful change in psychotherapy research. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 1991;59(1):12-19.
147. Duncan EA, Murray J. The barriers and facilitators to routine outcome measurement by allied health professionals in practice: a systematic review. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2012;12:96. doi: 10.1186/1472-6963-12-96.
148. Lohr KN, Aaronson NK, Alonso J, et al. Evaluating quality-of-life and health status instruments: development of scientific review criteria. *Clin Ther.* 1996;18(5):979-992.
149. Bertakis KD, Azari R. Patient-centered care is associated with decreased health care utilization. *J Am Board Fam Med.* 2011;24(3):229-239. doi: 10.3122/jabfm.2011.03.100170.
150. Leu JD, Huang YT. An application of business process method to the clinical efficiency of hospital. *J Med Syst.* 2011;35(3):409-421. doi: 10.1007/s10916-009-9376-4.
151. Rebuge A, Ferreira DR. Business process analysis in healthcare environments: A methodology based on process mining. *Information Systems.* 2012;37:99-116.
152. Sterne JA, White IR, Carlin JB, et al. Multiple imputation for missing data in epidemiological and clinical research: potential and pitfalls. *BMJ.* 2009;338:b2393. doi: 10.1136/bmj.b2393.
153. Chow A, Mayer EK, Darzi AW, Athanasiou T. Patient-reported outcome measures: the importance of patient satisfaction in surgery. *Surgery.* 2009;146(3):435-443. doi: 10.1016/j.surg.2009.03.019.
154. Hush JM, Cameron K, Mackey M. Patient satisfaction with musculoskeletal physical therapy care: A systematic review. *Phys Ther.* 2011;91(1):25-36. doi: 10.2522/ptj.20100061.
155. Sorenson E, Malakouti M, Brown G, Koo J. Enhancing patient satisfaction in dermatology. *Am J Clin Dermatol.* 2015;16(1):1-4. doi: 10.1007/s40257-014-0111-7.

156. Custer MG, Huebner RA, Howell DM. Factors predicting client satisfaction in occupational therapy and rehabilitation. *Am J Occup Ther*. 2015;69(1):6901290040p1-6901290040p10. doi: 10.5014/ajot.2015.013094.
157. Yellen E, Davis GC, Ricard R. The measurement of patient satisfaction. *J Nurs Care Qual*. 2002;16(4):23-29.
158. Beattie PF, Pinto MB, Nelson MK, Nelson R. Patient satisfaction with outpatient physical therapy: Instrument validation. *Phys Ther*. 2002;82:557-565.
159. Goldstein MS, Elliott SD, Guccione AA. The development of an instrument to measure satisfaction with physical therapy. *Phys Ther*. 2000;80:853-863.
160. Pinto MB. Outcome measures in assessing physical therapy. *Orthop Clin North Am*. 1995;4:269-281.
161. Roush SE, Sonstroem RJ. Development of the physical therapy outpatient satisfaction survey (PTOPS). *Phys Ther*. 1999;79(2):159-170.
162. Keith RA. Patient satisfaction and rehabilitation services. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 1998;79(9):1122-1128.
163. Carr-Hill RA. The measurement of patient satisfaction. *J Public Health Med*. 1992;14(3):236-249.
164. Stimson G, Web B. *Going to See the Doctor: The Consultation Process in General Practice*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul; 1975.
165. Blanchard CG, Labrecque MS, Ruckdeschel JC, Blanchard EB. Physician behaviors, patient perceptions, and patient characteristics as predictors of satisfaction of hospitalized adult cancer patients. *Cancer*. 1990;65(1):186-192.
166. Hall JA, Dornan MC. Patient sociodemographic characteristics as predictors of satisfaction with medical care; a metaanalysis. *Soc Sci Med*. 1990;30(7):811-818.
167. Pascoe GC, Attkisson CC. The evaluation ranking scale: a new methodology for assessing satisfaction. *Eval Program Plann*. 1983;6(3-4):335-347.
168. Ware JE Jr, Snyder MK, Wright WR, Davies AR. Defining and measuring patient satisfaction with medical care. *Eval Program Plann*. 1983;6(3-4):247-263.
169. Monnin D, Perneger TV. Scale to measure patient satisfaction with physical therapy. *Phys Ther*. 2002;82(7):682-691.
170. Beattie PF, Nelson R, Murphy DR. Development and preliminary validation of the MedRisk Instrument to measure patient satisfaction with chiropractic care. *J Manipulative Physiol Ther*. 2011;34(1):23-29. doi: 10.1016/j.jmpt.2010.09.003.
171. Mokkink LB, Terwee CB, Knol DL, et al. Protocol of the COSMIN study; COnsensus-based Standards for the selection of health Measurement INstruments. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2006;6:2. doi:10.1186/1471-2288-6-2.
172. Alviar MJ, Olver J, Brand C, et al. Do patient-reported outcome measures in hip and knee arthroplasty rehabilitation have robust measurement attributes? A systematic review. *J Rehabil Med*. 2011;43(7):572-583. doi: 10.2340/16501977-0828.
173. Bot SD, Terwee CB, van der Windt DA, Bouter LM, Dekker J, de Vet HC. Clinimetric evaluation of shoulder disability questionnaires: a systematic review of the literature. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2004;63(4):335-341.
174. The COSMIN Checklist. <http://www.cosmin.nl/images/upload/files/checklist%20final%20feb%202010.pdf>. Accessed May 27, 2015.
175. Kirshner B, Guyatt G. A methodological framework for assessing health indices. *J Chronic Dis*. 1985;38(1):27-36.
176. Gabel CP, Melloh M, Burkett B, Michener LA. Lower limb functional index: development and clinimetric properties. *Phys Ther*. 2012;92(1):98-110. doi: 10.2522/ptj.20100199.
177. Gabel CP, Melloh M, Burkett B, Michener LA. The Spine Functional Index: development and clinimetric validation of a new whole-spine functional outcome measure. *Spine J*. 2013 Oct 25. pii: S1529-9430(13)01598-2. doi: 10.1016/j.spinee.2013.09.055. [Epub ahead of print]
178. Jensen GM, Shepard KF, Hack LM. The novice versus the experienced clinician: insights into the work of the physical therapist. *Phys Ther*. 1990;70(5):314-323.
179. Resnik L, Hart DL. Using clinical outcomes to identify expert physical therapists. *Phys Ther*. 2003;83(11):990-1002.
180. Medical Outcomes Trust. <http://www.outcomes-trust.org/index.html>. Accessed May 4, 2015.
181. Section on Research, American Physical Therapy Association. <http://www.ptresearch.org/article/14/edge-taskforce>. Accessed May 4, 2015.
182. Patient Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS). <http://www.nihpromis.org/default>. Accessed May 4, 2015.
183. Wainer H. *Computerized Adaptive Testing: A primer*. 2nd ed. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc; 2000.
184. Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI). <http://www.pcori.org/>. Accessed May 4, 2015.
185. Ahmed S, Berzon RA, Revicki DA, et al. The use of patient-reported outcome (PRO) with Comparative effectiveness research: Implications for clinical practice and health care policy. *Med Care*. 2012;50(12):1060-1070. doi: 10.1097/MLR.0b013e318268aaff.