Young Runner with Recurrent Achilles Pain

In alphabetical order: Kornelia Kulig PT, PhD, FAPTA Los Angeles, CA Lisa Meyer PT, DPT, OCS iSports Physical Therapy Los Angeles, CA Liz Poppert MS, DPT, OCS Physical Therapy Clinic, Santa Monica, CA Stephen Reischl, PT, DPT, OCS Reischl Physical Therapy, Long Beach, CA

Our runner Her story Her pain diagram

A message from this mornings lecture "Not every tendon problem is the same"

- This case presentation illustrates:
 - The need for an approach other than eccentric training
 - The need for and value of movement observation, assessment, and intervention
- This talk will provide a framework assessment of the runner
- Laboratory activity will include movement assessment specific to the runner and intervention to improve the running skill

Our aim



RUN well





Our strategy?

Intervention driven by systematic hypothesis based assessment

ity of Southern Cali

- 1. History
 - Training and medical
- 2. Running mechanicsa) Observational gait analysis
 - b) Ground reaction forces
- 3. Phase specific movement testinga) Motor control
- b) ROM, power, endurance
- 4. Local orthopedic examinationa) Tissue integrity
 - b) ROM, MMT, accessory motion



Phases

y of Southern Cal

Musculoskeletal and Biomechanics Research Laboratory

sity of Southern Califo



Abbigail L Fietzer, PT, DPT, PhD Candidate













Loading Phase Braking/propulsive ground reaction force



ty of Southern Calif













Work distributions and foot strike pattern



Summary of Running Gait Analysis Loading Phase

- Evidence of increased load on Achilles tendon and plantar flexors
 - Increased vertical displacement
 - Forefoot striker
 - Excessive excursion from inversion to eversion
 - Narrow base of support
- · Resulting in:
 - Excessive vertical, braking and medial ground reaction forces
 - Increased work and musculotendinous demand on posterior leg and plantar foot

Summary of Running Gait Analysis Propulsion Phase

- Evidence of increased load on Achilles tendon and plantar flexors
 - Narrow base of support
 - Prolonged pronation following midstance
- · Resulting in:
 - Excessive vertical, propulsive and medial ground reaction forces Increased positive work and musculotendinous demand on
 - posterior leg and plantar foot



Movement Testing

Based upon skill assessment Running phase specific Directs the orthopedic examination Guides therapeutic exercise selection



Lab Session

Objectives:

- Perform and manipulate movement tests specific to running phases
- Apply test findings to determine patient specific treatment modalities

Loading phase specific movement tests

- · Anterior lower extremity reach
- Step down test
- Single leg balance anterior ipsilateral UE reach
- Single leg balance frontal plane medial ipsilateral UE reach
- Triple hop test
- Plyometric leap test

Loading Tests

- · Anterior lower extremity reach
- Step down
 - Observe control of lower extremity loading
 Foot, knee, hip, pelvis, trunk, upper extremities
 - Manipulate by supporting heel, or midfoot, or forefoot, or femur
 - Determine cause of collapse: Limited foot/ankle mobility? Or limited strength? Impaired control?
- Triple hop test for power



Anterior LE Reach

- Reach distance
- Dorsiflexion ROM
- · Knee and hip flexion
- Trunk lean and rotation



Step Down

- Look for frontal plane control of trunk, pelvis, hip, knee, and foot
- "Not just a foot problem anymore"
 - Femoral Add/IR
- Excessive foot pronation



Triple Hop



LE and UE alignment

ty of Southern Califo

• Trunk lean and rotation



Loading Treatment

- Tape to support arch, facilitate plantar flexion of first ray, heel lift
- · Manipulate midfoot, mobilize talo-crural joint
- Functional mobilization of first ray to facilitate plantar flexion
- Strengthening SLB or SLS squat with UE reaches or wall push for hip ABD/ER

Loading: TREATMENT







BOSU SL RDL

WALL PUSH

SL SQUAT UE REACH



Propulsive phase specific movement tests

- Terminal stance heel raise
- · Single leg balance on forefoot
- Toe walk backward/forward
- Plyometric step up test
- Triple hop test
- Plyometric leap test



Propulsion Tests

· Trailing limb stance HR

sity of Southern Califo

- Staggered stance rotation to see if the foot can supinate in stance
 - Watch for supination or rear and midfoot countered with forefoot stability
 - Manipulate/mobilize with movement and re-assess
- · Dynamic heel raise
- · Plyometric leap test for power

Terminal stance heel raise

- Number of heel raises
- · Heel height/ PF and MTP extension ROM
- · Forefoot stability
- Mid/rearfoot supination



Propulsion: Assessment

of Southern Cal



Stride Stance Rot



Dynamic Heel Rise

Plyometric leap test

· Efficiency of push off with maximum effort

ty of Southern Califo

- · Leap distance
- · Extremity alignment
- · Trunk alignment





Propulsion Treatment

- Functional calcaneal inversion, navicular elevation, $1^{\rm st}$ ray plantar flexion, $1^{\rm st}$ MTP ext
- Single limb balance rotation
- Single limb balance 3-D woodpecker
- · Plyometric step up

sity of Southern G



Open Chain Calcaneal Inversion with Midfoot and Forefoot Eversion, Navicular, PF 1st Ray



Open Chain

1st Ray Plantar Flexion

Treatments: PROPULSION

ity of Southern Califo





Transverse Plane Heel Rise

Treatments: PROPULSION



Heel Rise focus on inversion



3D Woodpeckers

Trunk Control & Endurance Tests

- Quadruped alternate arm/leg raise
- Quadruped ipsilateral arm/leg raise
- Bear crawl
- Front plank
- Add extremity raiseSide plank
- Add extremity raise





Side Plank Top Leg Up for Time

Lateral trunk and hip endurance

A) >60 seconds R&LB) Left: 43 secondsRight: 29 seconds

Trunk Control & Endurance Treatment

- Standing static and dynamic lower extremity wall push
- Static and dynamic resisted side plank on knees with hip ABD/ER



Our strategy?

Intervention driven by systematic hypothesis based assessment

ty of Southern Cali

- 1. History
 - Training and medical
- 2. Running mechanicsa) Observational gait analysis
 - b) Ground reaction forces
- Phase specific movement testing

 a) Motor control
 - b) ROM, power, endurance
- 4. Local orthopedic examination
 a) Tissue integrity
 b) DOM MUT access in the second sec
 - b) ROM, MMT, accessory motion

Integrating the local orthopedic



	examination	
	MMT's and strength 4/5: Post Tib, Peroneous Longus, Flexor Hallicus Longus	ROM and foot posture: Dorsiflexion limited Right 1 st MTP ext: 55° Right forefoot varus
	Heel raise L=16, R=13	
		Tender to palpation R>L
Joint play: Hypomobile TC jt Impaired locking CC jt		Med>Lat, plantar, lateral posterior leg, plantar navicular and cuboid

Patient Problem Summary

- Local
 - Pain at the teno-osseous junction, hypermobility midfoot, hypomobility ankle
- Capacity
 - Weakness Gastrocsoleus, PT, PL, FHL, decreased dorsiflexion ROM, impaired lateral trunk/hip muscle endurance
- Skill

 Increased load on Achilles tendon, posterior leg, plantar foot based upon GRF and kinematic analysis



Heel lift, taping & orthoses







Joint mobilization











Movement tests become exercise

- Loading
 - Anterior LE and UE reaches, Wall push static and dynamic hip ABD/ER
- Propulsion
 - Single limb balance with rotation
 - Single limb balance 3D woodpecker
 - $-\operatorname{\mathsf{Plyometric}}$ step up with knee drive
- Trunk
 - Dynamic side plank on knees for hip extension/ABD/ER with resistance band

Neuromuscular drills for running skill deficits

- When? Prior to run as part of warm up
- Dosage: Novice 2+ x 15-25m; Competitive 2+ x 50m - Crouched gait
 - Eversion/duck walk
 - Backward walk
 - Wide base walk/jog
 - Midfoot strike with lunge walk and knee drive
 - $-\operatorname{\mathsf{Plyometric}}$ step ups and knee drive

Running gait re-training

- Cyclical and interval based focus on specific elements of skill
 - Foot placement
 - Mid foot strike
 - Step width
 - Vertical displacement
- Motor learning focus 1:4-5 minutes



